

My interventions 2015-2023 and the future of digital democracy Märt Põder <tramm@infoaed.ee> May 24th 2023 @Delta pseudovote.net | isoc.ee | hackest.org

### Short history of electronic voting in Estonia

- Digitally minded politicians discovered the fascinating concept around 2000
- First research papers on possibility in 2001, the draft proposal 2003
- Test run 2005 in Tallinn, binding use in municipal elections autumn 2005
- Main local debates about uniformity 2003-2005, uncoercibility 2011-2013, verification 2015-2017, observability 2023-...
- International observers in 2007 suggested improving substantially or to discontinue, 2011 suggested verifiability, 2014 <u>Halderman's intervention</u>, 2015 recognized efforts, 2019 noted unconstitutionality of vote verification
- Improvements have been mostly reluctant, system has been always said to be perfect, in atmosphere of severe political opposition and conspiracies
- My take: <u>https://gafgaf.infoaed.ee/en/posts/perils-of-electronic-voting/</u>

# My interventions 2015-2023

- In 2015 by act of random hacking used debugger to change my vote in memory of official voting application thereby casting an invalid ballot
  The interesting part was tracking if this will be handled correctly and it *probably* was
- Following years dug up the concept of end to end verifiability and demanded implementing it, was *partly* implemented in 2017
  - In 2019 contested the procedure in Supreme Court, which requested proper legal definition
  - In 2019 governmental working group underlined the need, but results never happened
- In 2023 created independent vote verification tool and voting tool prototype
  - <u>Verification tool</u> enables downloading digitally signed vote cryptograms => hard evidence of actual voter choice if combined with OCSP logs and official NEC query
  - <u>Voting scripts</u> allowed casting irregular votes and logging them => it appeared that DIY votes were following the legal specification and e-votes cast by official application *not so much*

# Some preliminary results of interventions

- There was no way to observe or address the irregularities during tally process
  - No access to actual data to verify the processes, personal data requests were suggested, but not fulfilled, details of the procedures were held back by NEC up to very last moment
- Filed election complaints about the irregularities, but none of them was processed => no legal contesting right or missed deadlines
- The fact that none of 312 181 e-votes had proper digital signature and votes failed to conform to vote format defined by legal acts is *pretty bad* 
  - $\circ$  Maybe the irregularities could have been fixed, but there was no process to do it
  - Supreme Court opinions diverged, some stating they can't fulfill their duty of oversight
- As a result the observers of e-voting 2011-2023 created a joint statement specifying five demands for meaningful observation of e-voting
  - https://rahvaalgatus.ee/initiatives/7b9ecdfa-3b56-45d4-a0f8-a52ced3e5803?language=en or https://vaatlejad.github.io/

### Protecting e-voting as a national heritage?

- Privacy is based on controversial constitutional interpretation => actual implementation fails to provide ballot secrecy because of design decision
- Legal framework was specified in 2000s, but includes hacks, omissions and wishful thinking about personal data rights and constitutional principles
- Observability was initially provided by visually following the processes, but is hardly sustainable after ~20 years and 51% of votes cast electronically
- As a <u>wicked problem</u> e-voting needs solutions from legal, political and technical branch which normally do not speak the same language
- Not yet properly recognized by international community => not exported as such or scalable and more like "only possible in Estonia"
- Raises questions about future of digital democracy in general

# Topic of the next season still undecided

- Some of my complaints still waiting for a resolution at Data Protection Inspectorate, National Electoral Committee, Prosecutor's Office etc
- After Supreme Court resolution about legal unclarity there was public demand by major newspapers as well as President of Estonia to improve the situation
- Government has "e-voting on smartphone" in their action plan, but not fixing the legal framework, debate is happening hidden from the public if at all
- There is law proposal to discontinue e-voting altogether by right wing EKRE, several petitions on e-voting submitted to parliament
- To be seen if there will be any major changes before EU election in June 2024 or the system will make another step toward self-destruction

If you want to discuss more you can find my contacts <u>https://infoaed.ee</u>